## Case 2:23-cv-02269-KJM-KJN Document 20 Filed 02/29/24 Page 1 of 4

1	LISA BLANCO JIMENEZ (SBN 234671) ROBERTO JIMENEZ, JR. (SBN 329050)		
2	NEUMILLER & BEARDSLEE,		
3	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  Mailing: P.O. Box 20		
4	Stockton, CA 95201-3020  Office: 3121 W. March Lane, Suite 100 Stockton, CA 95219  Telephone: (209) 948-8200  Facsimile: (209) 948-4910  E-mail: ljimenez@neumiller.com rjimenez@neumiller.com		
5			
6			
7			
8	Attorneys for Defendants		
9	ST. MARY'S HIGH SCHOOL and ST. MARY'S HIGH SCHOOL FOUNDATION		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SUSANA VERDUZCO,	) Case No. 2:23-cv-02269-KJM-KJN	
13	Plaintiff,	) )	
14	vs.	) ) CTIPLILATION OF DISMISSAL	
15	ST. MARY'S HIGH SCHOOL, ST. MARY'S	) STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL ) PURSUANT TO FRCP 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) AND	
16	HIGH SCHOOL FOUNDATION, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
17	Defendants.	Chief District Judge: Kimberly J. Mueller )	
18		) )	
19		) )	
20			
21			
22			
23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN ALL PARTIES AS FOLLOWS:		
24	Defendants ST. MARY'S HIGH SCHOOL ("SMHS") and ST. MARY'S HIGH SCHOOL		
25	FOUNDATION (the "Foundation") (SMHS and the Foundation are hereafter collectively referred to		
26	as "Defendants") and Plaintiff SUSANA VERDUZCO ("Plaintiff") (SMHS, the Foundation, and		
27	Plaintiff are hereafter collectively referred to as the "Parties"), by and through their respective		
28	counsel of record, hereby jointly stipulate and agree in accordance with Federal Rules of Civil		
	1 STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND ORDER		
I	STIFULATION OF D	IDIVIDUAL AND OKDEK	

Procedure ("FRCP"), Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), as follows:

3

5

9

12

11

1314

1516

17

18 19

2021

2223

2425

27

26

*\_* 1

28

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2023, Plaintiff filed a Complaint in the Superior Court of California, County of San Joaquin under Case no. STK-CV-UWT-2023-0009007 against SMHS and the Foundation alleging eleven causes of action against Defendants allegedly stemming from Plaintiff's purported employment with Defendants (the "Complaint").

WHEREAS, on October 5, 2023, Defendants removed Plaintiff's case to this Court, now bearing U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No 2:23-cv-02269-KJM-KJN.

WHEREAS, on November 17, 2023, Defendants jointly filed their Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6) Without Leave to Amend and for More Definite Statement Pursuant to FRCP 12(e) (the "Motion").

WHEREAS, the Foundation contends that it cannot be subject to suit under any of Plaintiff's causes of action in her Complaint as it neither employed Plaintiff nor had any other involvement with her.

WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred regarding the Foundation issue and have agreed to a dismissal without prejudice by Plaintiff in favor of the Foundation. Through those meet and confer efforts, the Parties further agreed that any claims dismissed by Plaintiff in favor of the Foundation shall be tolled as of August 18, 2023 until this Case has been resolved.

WHEREAS, SMHS and Plaintiff acknowledge and agree that the Motion must remain submitted to be addressed by this Court despite this stipulation to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against the Foundation.

**NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED,** between the Parties, subject to this Court's approval, that:

- 1. Any and all claims raised by Plaintiff in her Complaint against the Foundation (i.e., the First through Eleventh Causes of Action) be dismissed without prejudice;
- 2. The statutes of limitations and any other time limits and deadlines applicable to the First through Eleventh Causes of Action in the Complaint be tolled as of August 18, 2023, through the duration of this Case as to the Foundation only; and
  - 3. In the event this case resolves through settlement or judgment, any and all claims that

## Case 2:23-cv-02269-KJM-KJN Document 20 Filed 02/29/24 Page 3 of 4

1	Plaintiff has or may have against the Foundation that have not been refiled shall expire, rendering		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	those claims (if any) moot.		
	mose claims (if any) moot.		
3 4	Dated: February 21, 2024	NEUMILLER & BEARDSLEE	
5	Dated. February 21, 2024	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	
6 7		By: <u>/s/ Roberto Jimenez, Jr.</u> LISA BLANCO JIMENEZ	
8		ROBERTO JIMENEZ, JR.	
9		Attorney for Defendants ST. MARY'S HIGH	
10		SCHOOL and ST. MARY'S HIGH SCHOOL FOUNDATION	
11			
12			
13	Dated: February 21, 2024	LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN	
14			
15		By: <u>/s/ Spencer D. Sinclair (as authorized on 2/21/24)</u> ADAM BLAIR CORREN	
16		SPENCER D. SINCLAIR	
17		Attorney for Plaintiff SUSANA VERDUZCO	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND ORDER	
	1765712-4		

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL

IT IS ORDERED THAT, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal Pursuant to FRCP 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), the following causes of action raised in the Complaint by Plaintiff against the Foundation are dismissed without prejudice as of the date of this order:

- 1. First Cause of Action (Pregnancy Discrimination);
- 2. Second Cause of Action (Pregnancy Harassment);
- 3. Third Cause of Action (Violation of Pregnancy Disability Leave Law);
- 4. Fourth Cause of Action (Violation of Government Code § 12940(m) & § 12945(1)(a)(3));
  - 5. Fifth Cause of Action (Violation of Government Code § 12940(n));
  - 6. Sixth Cause of Action (Violation of Government Code § 12940(k));
  - 7. Seventh Cause of Action (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress);
  - 8. Eighth Cause of Action (Violation of CA Constitution Article I, Section 8);
  - 9. Ninth Cause of Action (Title VII Pregnancy Discrimination Disparate Impact);
  - 10. Tenth Cause of Action (Title VII Hostile Environment Pregnancy Harassment); and
  - 11. Eleventh Cause of Action (Title VII & ADA Failure to Accommodate).

In accordance with the parties' stipulation, the statutes of limitations and any other time limits and deadlines applicable to the First through Eleventh Causes of Action in the Complaint is tolled as of August 18, 2023, through the duration of this Case as to the Foundation only; and in the event this case resolves through settlement or judgment, any and all claims that Plaintiff has or may have against the Foundation that have not been refiled shall expire, rendering those claims (if any) moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Foundation and Plaintiff are to bear their own attorney's fees and costs as to the dismissed claims.

DATED: February 29, 2024.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27

28